Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, State University of New York, Stony Brook, New York 11 **790**

Reduction of Ethylenediaminetetraacetatocobaltate(II1) Complexes by Hexacyanoferrate(I1)'

LAURENCE ROSENHEIN, DANIEL SPEISER, and ALBERT HAIM*

Received January 16, 1974 AIC40032+

Kinetic studies of the reduction of some **ethylenediaminetetraacetatocobaltate(II1)** complexes by hexacyanoferrate(I1) have been carried out at 25" and ionic strength 0.59 *M* in the presence of ascorbic acid (added to drive the reactions to completion). Second-order rate constants for CoEDTA⁻, Co(EDTA)Cl²⁻, and Co(EDTA)OH₂²⁻ are 0.21 \pm 0.02, 7.0 \pm 0.2, and 3.4 \pm 0.2 *M*⁻¹ sec⁻¹, respectively. The oxidation of CoEDTA²⁻ by Fe(CN)₆³⁻ has been reexamined at pH 10, and it is found that the primary mononuclear cobalt(II1) product is the hexadentate species CoEDTA-. The mechanism of these oxidation reduction reactions is assigned on the basis of indirect criteria. It is concluded that although the binuclear, cyanobridged complex (EDTA)CoNCFe(CN), s - (previously identified by Adamson and Gonick) is the predominant primary product formed by the interaction between CoEDTA²⁻ and $Fe(CN)_{6}^{3-}$, the binuclear complex is not the immediate precursor of the mononuclear products CoEDTA⁻ and Fe(CN)₆⁴⁻. These are formed by an alternate, outer-sphere electron-transfer
pathway. An outer-sphere mechanism is also indicated for the Fe(CN), ⁴⁻ reductions of Co(EDTA)OH, ⁻ pathway. An outer-sphere mechanism is also indicated for the Fe(CN)₆⁴⁻ reductions of Co(EDTA)OH₂⁻ and Co(EDTA)- Cl^{2-} .

The first product detected in the oxidation of ethylenediaminetetraacetatocobaltate(I1) by hexacyanoferrate(II1) is a metastable binuclear complex containing cobalt(II1) and $iron(II).^{2-4}$ Eventually, the binuclear complex disappears and the mononuclear species CoEDTA⁻ and Fe(CN)₆⁴⁻ are produced. The mechanism proposed^{$2-4$} to account for these observations involves the inner-sphere electron-transfer reaction between CoEDTA²⁻ and Fe(CN)₆³⁻, followed by the dissociation of the binuclear complex formed in the first reaction.

$$
CoEDTA^{2-} + Fe(CN)_{6}^{3-} \frac{k_1}{k_{-1}} (EDTA)Co^{III}NCFe^{II}(CN)_{5}^{5-}
$$
 (1)

$$
(EDTA) CoIII NCFeII (CN)5 5- $\frac{k_2}{k_{-2}}$ CoEDTA⁻ + Fe(CN)₆ ⁴⁻ (2)
$$

Although the hexadentate species CoEDTA⁻ is shown as the product of the dissociation of the binuclear complex, the nature of the primary cobalt(II1) mononuclear species could not be established.²⁻⁴ Under the conditions of the experiments,²⁻⁴ the rate of ring closure of Co(EDTA)OH₂⁻ is fast⁵ compared to the rate of production of mononuclear complexes and therefore the authors noted²⁻⁴ that either Co- $(EDTA)OH₂^-$ or CoEDTA⁻ could be formed in reaction 2 but that only the hexadentate species would be detectable.

the latest reported values are 831 M^{-1} and 5.40 \times 10⁻³ sec⁻¹, respectively, at 25", pH 5.00, and ionic strength *0.66M.* The equilibrium constant K for the overall reaction, estimated² from the appropriate oxidation potentials, is \sim 100. Since $K = (k_1/k_{-1})(k_2/k_{-2})$, it is possible to calculate k_{-2} and the value obtained is $\sim 0.04 M^{-1}$ sec⁻¹. In view of the very slow rate of ring opening of $CoEDTA^{-5-7}$ such a high value for *k-2* seemed implausible to us. We therefore made a direct measurement of the rate of reduction of CoEDTA⁻ by Fe- $(CN)6^{4-}$. For purposes of comparison, we also studied the Fe(CN)₆⁴⁻ reductions of Co(EDTA)Cl²⁻ and Co(EDTA)OH₂. The values of k_1/k_{-1} and of k_2 have been measured²⁻⁴ and

-
-
- (2) A. W. Adamson and E. Gonick, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2, 129 (1963).
(3) D. Huchital and R. G. Wilkins, *Inorg. Chem.*, 6, 1022 (1967).
(4) D. Huchital and R. J. Hodges, *Inorg. Chem.*, 12, 998 (1973).
(5) I. A. W. Shimi and W **(1 9 58).**
- **(6)** R. Dyke and W. C. **E.** Higginson, *J. Chem. SOC.,* **1998 (1960). (7) S. P.** Tanner and W. C. E. Higginson, *J. Chem. SOC. A,* **59 (1966).**

Finally, since the earlier measurements²⁻⁴ of the CoEDTA²⁻- $Fe(CN)₆$ ³⁻ reaction were restricted to a pH of 5.0 and since these are conditions under which the rate of ring closure of $Co(EDTA)OH₂$ is at maximum, we carried out some measurements at pH 10. Under these conditions, the dominant pentadentate species is $Co(EDTA)OH²$ and its rate of ring closure is sufficiently slow compared to the redox reaction that the question of the identity of the primary mononuclear cobalt(II1) species can be resolved directly.

Experimental Section

dihydrate was prepared and recrystallized three times according to literature procedures.' Dehydration of the salt was accomplished by heating at 105° to constant weight. Monopotassium chloroethylenediaminetetraacetatocobaltate(II1) was prepared and purified according to literature procedures.⁹ Disodium hydroxoethylenediaminetetraacetatocobaltate(II1) was prepared as described in the literature. The purity of the materials was ascertained by cobalt analyses¹⁰ and by comparison of the visible spectra measured in this **work** with literature values.^{11,12} Our values of wavelength for maximum absorbance and molar absorptivity at the maxima are as follows: CoEDTA⁻, 534 nm and 318 *M*⁻¹ cm⁻¹; Co(HEDTA)Cl⁻, 584 nm and 230 *M*⁻¹
cm⁻¹. Disodium hydroxoethylenediaminetetraacetatocobaltate(III) cm^{-1} . Disodium hydroxoethylenediaminetetraacetatocobaltate(III) is very hygroscopic, and therefore solutions of this salt were standardized spectrophotometrically after decomposition to CoEDTA- (a quantitative reaction') in a pH 5.0 acetate buffer. Sodium hexacyanoferrate(I1) was recrystallized from water and analyzed by titration with cerium(IV) standard solutions¹³ and spectrophotometrically after peroxydisulfate oxidation to hexacyanoferrate(III).¹⁴ Potassium hexacyanoferrate(II1) was recrystallized from water and analyzed spectrophotometrically.¹⁴ Sodium perchlorate was made by reaction of sodium carbonate with perchloric acid and purified by two recrystallizations. Stock solutions of $NaClO_a$ were analyzed gravimetrically using tetraphenylarsonium chloride as the precipitating agent.¹⁵ Distilled water was passed through a Barnstead ion-exchange demineralizer and then was distilled in a Corning Model AG-lb apparatus. All other chemicals were reagent grade. Buffer solutions were prepared with NaCH₃CO₂-CH₃CO₂H for pH ~5, tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane-HClO₄ for pH \sim 8, and NaHCO₃-Na₂CO₃ for pH \sim 10. **Materials.** Potassium **ethylenediaminetetraacetatocobaltate(II1)**

(8) F. P. Dwyer, **E.** C. Gyarfas, and D. **P.** Mellor, *J. Phys. Chem.,* **59,296 (1955).**

- **(9)** F. P. Dwyer and F. L. Garvan, *J. Amev. Chem.* **SOC.,** *80,* **4480 (1958).**
- **(10) E. S.** Gould and **H.** Taube, *J. Amer. Chem. SOC., 86,* **1318 (1964).**
- **(11) Y.** Shimura and R. Tsuchida, *Bull. Chem. SOC. Jap.,* **29, 311 (1956).**
- **(12) A.** Pidcock and W. C. E. Higginson, *J. Chem. Soc.,* **2798 (13)** I. **M.** Kolthoff and R. Belcher, "Volumetric Analysis," Vol. **(1963).**
- **III, Interscience, New York, N. Y., p 135. (14)** The molar absorbance of $Fe(CN)_{6}^{3-}$ at 420 nm is 1023 M^{-1}
- cm^{-1} .
	- (15) E. Deutsch and H. Taube, *Inorg. Chem.*, 7, 1533 (1968).

Foundation Grant **GP-9669.** (b) Abstracted in part from the **B.S.** theses of **L.** R. and D. S., State University of New York at Stony Brook, **1973** and **1972,** respectively. **(1)** (a) This work was supported in part by National Science

Kinetic **Measurements.** The desired amounts of buffer, sodium perchlorate, ascorbic acid, and sodium hexacyanoferrate(I1) were mixed, and a stream of nitrogen was bubbled through the solution for 15-20 min. The appropriate volume of a solution of the desired cobalt(II1) complex was added, and the absorbance of the resulting solution was measured as a function of time with a Cary 14 recording spectrophotometer. The wavelengths used were 534,582, and 567 nm for CoEDTA⁻, Co(EDTA)Cl²⁻, and Co(EDTA)OH₂⁻, respectively. All the measurements of the rates of reduction of the cobalt(III) complexes were carried out at 25° and ionic strength 0.59 M in the presence of 5.6 \times 10⁻³ *M* ascorbic acid. The pH of each solution was measured at the end of a run using an Orion 801 or a Corning 12 pH meter.

Results

The reductions of the ethylenediaminetetraacetatocobaltate- (111) complexes are thermodynamically unfavorable.2 Therefore, in order to simplify the kinetic studies of these reductions, ascorbic acid, which is known to reduce hexacyanoferrate(III) quite rapidly,¹⁶ was added to the solutions. In this manner, equilibria such as those represented by eq 1 and 2 were shifted to the left. Moreover, since the hexacyanoferrate(II) concentration is constant¹⁷ during the measurements, pseudo-first-order conditions are strictly maintained even in runs where the initial ratio $[Fe(II)]/[Co(III)]$ is smaller than 1.

Summaries of the results of the kinetic measurements for the hexacyanoferrate(I1) reductions of CoEDTA- and Co- (EDTA)C12- are presented in Tables 1 and 11, respectively. Measurements in the absence of Fe(I1) were carried out to ascertain the extent of direct reduction of the cobalt(II1) complexes by ascorbic acid. For CoEDTA⁻, direct reduction by ascorbic acid was negligible at pH 4.5 but became detectable at pH *7.5.* However, even at this pH, the maximum contribution of ascorbic acid reduction to the disappearance of CoEDTA- was 10%. For Co(EDTA)Cl-, ascorbic acid reduction at pH 7.5 was prohibitively fast compared to reduction by Fe(CN)_{6}^{4-} , and therefore measurements were restricted to pH \sim 4.5. Under these conditions, the maximum correction was 15%. The pseudo-first-order rate constants k_{obsd} for the disappearance of CoEDTA⁻ and Co(EDTA)Cl²⁻ were obtained from the slopes of the linear $\log (A_t - A_{\infty})$ *vs. t* plots, and the values obtained are listed in columns *3* and *2* of Tables I and 11. Second-order rate constants for the Co-EDTA⁻-Fe(CN)₆⁴⁻ and Co(EDTA)Cl²⁻-Fe(CN)₆⁴⁻ reactions are listed in columns 4 and 3 of Tables I and 11, respectively. These constants were calculated from the expression $k_{\text{obsd}}/$ $[Fe(II)]$, after correcting k_{obsd} for the ascorbic acid reduction, where necessary. A rate law of the form k [Co(III)] \cdot [Fe(II)] accounts reasonably well for the observations, with k being independent of pH from 4.5 to 7.9 in the case of Co-EDTA⁻¹⁸

(1 6) N. Winograd, H. N. Blount, and T. Kuwana, *J. Phys. Chem.,* 73, 3456 (1969).

(17) The steady-state concentration of Fe(CN)₆³⁻ can be easily calculated from the scheme Co(III) + Fe(CN)₆⁴⁻ \rightarrow Co(II) + Fe(CN)₆³⁻ followed by 2Fe(CN)₆³⁻ + C₆H₈O₄ \rightarrow 2Fe(CN)₆⁴⁻ + 2H⁺ + C₆ Under the most favorable conditions for the formation of large steady-
state amounts of Fe(CN)₆³⁻, less than 0.1% of the Fe(CN)₆⁴⁻ is oxidized.

(1 8) The first and fourth measurements listed in Table I were performed in an effort to learn if the radicals generated in the Fe- $(CN)_6$ ³⁻-ascorbic acid reaction are capable of reducing CoEDTA⁻. These runs were carried as described in the Experimental Section
except that $Fe(CN)_{\xi}$ ⁴⁻ was omitted and $Fe(CN)_{\xi}$ ³⁻ was added last.
In this manner Fe(CN)₆³⁻ was produced *in situ* by the reaction of
 $Fe(CN)_{\xi}$ (extrapolated) absorbance at time 0 with that calculated from the concentration of $[Co(III)]$ and its molar absorbance. Excellent agreement between extrapolated and calculated values of A_0 was agreement between extrapolated and calculated values of A_0 was obtained, and we conclude that, under our experimental conditions, $Co(III)$ disappears by reaction with $Fe(CN)_{6}^{4-}$ and not with radicals derived from the one-electron oxidation of ascorbic acid.

Table I. Kinetics of the CoEDTA⁻-Fe(CN), ⁴⁻ Reaction^a

10^{3} [Fe(CN) ₆ ⁴⁻],		ъ $103kobsd$,			
М	pН	sec^{-1}		$kc M-1 sec-1$	
	7.8	0.02^{d}			
1.00 ^e	4.5	0.25		0.25	
2.98	7.5	0.63		0.20	
3.00	4.8	0.67		0.22	
5.00 ^e	4.8	1.28		0.26	
5.01	4.8	1.22		0.24	
5.02	7.4	0.88		0.17	
5.06	7.4	1.08		0.21	
5.06	4.6	1.03		0.20	
5.07	4.8	0.92		0.18	
5.22	7.9	1.03		0.19	
5.23	7.8	1.05		0.20	
5.23	7.3	1.05		0.20	
6.96	7.5	1.49		0.21	
8.93	7.5	1.96		0.22	
			Ay	0.21 ± 0.02	

and $[Co(III)] = (1.78-1.87) \times 10^{-4} M$. ^b From slopes of log $(A_t - A_\infty)$ *vs. t* plots. ^{*c*} For pH 4.6 \pm 0.2, $k = k_{\text{obsd}}/[Fe(CN)_6$ for pH 7.6 \pm 0.3, $k = (k_{\text{obsd}} - 2 \times 10^{-5})/[Fe(CN)_6^{4-}]$. *^d* Blar measurement. No [Fe(II)] added. *e* Produced *in situ* by the ascorbic acid-Fe(CN ^a At 25[°], ionic strength 0.59 *M*, [ascorbic acid] = 5.6 \times 10⁻³ *M*, For pH 4.6 \pm 0.2, $k = k_{\text{obsd}} / [\text{Fe(CN)}_{6}]$; Blank

In the earlier work^{2,3} on the CoEDTA²⁻-Fe(CN)₆³⁻ reaction at pH *5,* the intermediacy of the species Co(EDTA)OH, could neither be proved nor ruled out. Therefore, it was of interest to study the reduction of this species by $Fe(CN)_{6}^{4-}$. At pH 5 and convenient concentrations of $Fe(CN)_{6}^{4-}$, ring closure in $Co(EDTA)OH₂⁻$ is competitive with reduction, and therefore the following procedure was adopted to determine the rate constant for the Co(EDTA)OH₂^{--Fe(CN)₆⁴⁻} reaction. Assuming the kinetic scheme represented by eq 3,

$$
\frac{\text{Co(EDTA)OH}_2 \xrightarrow{k_B} \text{CoEDTA}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O}}{\text{Fe(CN)}_6 \xrightarrow{k_b} \text{CoEDTA}^2}
$$
(3)

it can be shown¹⁹ that, provided the wavelength of observation corresponds to an isosbestic point between Co(EDTA)- OH_2^- and CoEDTA⁻ and the concentration of Fe(CN)₆⁴ remains constant during the run, eq 4 is applicable. The

$$
A_t - A_{\infty} = (A_0 - A_{\infty}) \left[\frac{(k_c - k_b)[\text{Fe(II)}]}{(k_c - k_b)[\text{Fe(II)}] - k_a} e^{-(k_a + k_b [\text{Fe(II)}])t} - \frac{k_a}{(k_c - k_b)[\text{Fe(II)}] - k_a} e^{-k_c [\text{Fe(II)}]t} \right]
$$
(4)

rate constants k_a, k_b , and k_c are defined in eq 3; A_0, A_t , and *A,* are the absorbances per unit path length at time 0, at *t,* and at the completion of the reaction, respectively. The wavelength of observation was 567 nm, an isosbestic point for Co(EDTA)OH₂⁻ and CoEDTA⁻ with molar absorbance $257 M^{-1}$ cm⁻¹. The observed absorbances were fitted to eq 4 with a nonlinear least-squares program²⁰ using $A_t - A_\infty$ as the dependent variable, *t* as the independent variable, and the two preexponential terms and the two exponents as adjustable parameters. Since the concentration of Fe- $(CN)_6$ ⁴⁻ is constant during the runs because of the presence of excess ascorbic acid, the two exponential parameters are pseudo-first-order rate constants. Excellent fits were ob-

(19) P. Benson and A. Haim, *J. Amer. Chem. SOC., 87,* 3826 $(1965).$

(20) Report LA 2367 and Addenda, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Los Alamos, N. M., Mar 4, 1960, and Jan 14, 1963, respectively.

Table II. Kinetics of the Co(EDTA)Cl²⁻-Fe(CN)₆⁴⁻ Reaction^a

			10^{4} [Fe(CN) ₆ ⁴⁻], M 10^{3} k _{obsd} , b sec ⁻¹ k, c M ⁻¹ sec ⁻¹ 10 ⁴ [Fe(CN) ₆ ⁴⁻], M 10^{3} k _{obsd} , b sec ⁻¹		k_{\cdot} ^c M^{-1} sec ⁻¹	
	0.12		5.03	3.61	6.9	
1.01	0.79	6.6	6.99	5.00	7.0	
3.02	2.25	7.1	8.90	6.30	6.9	
3.26	2.53	7.4			Av 7.0 ± 0.2	

^a At 25°, ionic strength 0.59 M, pH 4.5 ± 0.2, [ascorbic acid] = 5.6 × 10⁻³ M, and [Co(III)] = 3.59 × 10⁻⁴ M. ^b From slopes of log $(A_f - A_x)$ *vs. t* plots. ${}^{c}k = (k_{\text{obsd}} - 1.2 \times 10^{-4})/[\text{Fe(CN)}_{6}^{4}]$.

tained, and the values of $k_{obsd} = (k_a + k_b [Fe(H)])$ and $k'_{\text{obsd}} = k_{\text{c}}[\text{Fe(II)}]$ are listed in columns 2 and 3 of Table III, respectively. In order to calculate k_b , the second-order rate constant for the Co(EDTA)OH₂⁻-Fe(CN)₆⁴⁻ reaction, it is necessary to have values of k_a , the rate constant for ring closure in $Co(EDTA)OH_2^{-1}$ These values are available, but under somewhat different experimental conditions than those used in the present work.⁵ Therefore, the rate of ring closure in Co(EDTA)OH₂⁻ was measured at 25[°] and ionic strength 0.59 *M,* by following the absorbance change at 340 nm. With $[Co(III)] = (2.67-3.31) \times 10^{-4} M$ and pH 4.7-4.9, values of 10^3 k_a were 2.17, 2.17, 2.17, 2.17, 2.28, and 2.28 sec⁻¹, yielding an average for k_a of (2.21 ± 0.05) \times 10⁻³ sec⁻¹. The values of k_b , calculated from the expression $(k_{obsd} - k_a)/[Fe(II)]$, are listed in column 4 of Table III. The agreement between k_b values from different runs is considered satisfactory for a relatively complicated scheme such as the one presented in eq 3. It is also possible to calculate the second-order rate constant for the CoEDTA^{--Fe(CN)₆⁴⁻} reaction from the values of k'_{obsd} $(k = k'_{obsd}/[Fe(H)])$. The average value obtained is 0.24 ± 0.02 M^{-1} sec^{-1} compared to 0.21 ± 0.02 M^{-1} sec⁻¹ measured directly. The agreement between the two sets of measurements is considered entirely satisfactory and provides strong support for the reaction scheme given in eq 3.

reaction were restricted to pH 5, we carried out a (limited) number of kinetic and stoichiometric measurements at a pH near 10 where the rate of ring closure of pentadentate cobalt(III) is very slow.⁵ The measurements were carried out as described previously²⁻⁴ (25°, ionic strength 0.66 M, and $[Fe(III)] = 1.00 \times 10^{-4} M$. With $[CoEDTA^{2-}] = 2.00 \times 10^{-4} M$ 10^{-3} *M*, pH 9.8, the observed pseudo-first-order rate constants were 3.54 and 3.61 \times 10⁻³ sec⁻¹; with [CoEDTA²⁻] = 4.00×10^{-3} , pH 9.7, the rate constants were 4.46 and 4.49 X sec⁻¹. Using the previous interpretation²⁻⁴ of the Co-EDTA²⁻-Fe(CN)₆³⁻ reaction (eq 1 and 2), the measured rate constants yield values at pH 9.75 \pm 0.05 of $k_1/k_{-1} = 7.4 \times$ $10^2 M^{-1}$ and $k_2 = 6.0 \times$ ment with previous reported values^{2,4} at pH 5.00: $k_1/k_{-1} =$ 6.7×10^2 and 8.3×10^2 M^{-1} ; $k_2 = 5.4 \times 10^2$ 10^{-3} sec⁻¹. At the completion of the kinetic runs, the solutions were examined spectrophotometrically and the Co(II1) species formed was found to have maxima at 535 and 377 nm with molar absorbance 322 and 230 M^{-1} cm⁻¹, in excellent agreement with values calculated for quantitative formation of CoEDTA-. Since at **pH** 9.75 the half-life for ring closure in $Co(EDTA)OH²⁻$ is \sim 4 hr whereas the Fe(III)-Co-(11) reactions are complete in *ca.* 30 min, we conclude that $CoEDTA^-$ is the primary cobalt(III) mononuclear product of the oxidation of CoEDTA²⁻ by Fe(CN)₆³⁻. Since previous measurements of the CoEDTA²⁻-Fe(CN)₆³⁻ \sec^{-1} . These are in good agreeand 6.1 X

Table **III.** Kinetics of the $Co(EDTA)OH$ ₂^{--Fe(CN)₄⁺⁻ Reaction^a}

103 [Fe- $(CN)_{6}^{4-}$], М	$\frac{10^2 k_{\text{obs}} d^2 b}{\text{sec}^{-1}}$	$10^3k'$ _{obsd} , ^b sec^{-1}		$k_{\rm b}$, $c M^{-1}$ sec ⁻¹	
1.00	0.57	0.25		3.5	
2.98	1.20	0.71		3.3	
3.00	1.25	0.72		3.4	
5.04	1.83	1.07		3.2	
5.09	2.03	1.22		3.6	
7.03	2.80	1.73		3.7	
7.05	2.35	1.43		3.0	
9.01	3.03	2.22		3.1	
9.03	3.25	2.18		3.4	
9.04	3.37	2.33		3.5	
			A٧	3.4 ± 0.2	

^{*a*} At 25°, ionic strength 0.59 *M*, [ascorbic acid] = 5.6 \times 10⁻³ *M*, pH 4.8 ± 0.1, and [Co(III)] = (2.54–3.30) \times 10⁻⁴ *M*. ^{*b*} From nonlinear squares fitting of measured absorbances to eq 4. ^{*c*} $k_{\rm b} = (k_{\rm obsd} - 2.21 \times 10^{-3}) / [Fe(CN)_6^4$ ⁻].

Discussion

the primary mononuclear product of the $CoEDTA^{2-}-Fe (CN)_{6}^{3-}$ reaction at pH 10, coupled with the pH independence of the Fe(CN) $_6^{3-}$ oxidation of CoED⁻¹ A^{2-} and the Fe- $(CN)_{6}^{4-}$ reduction of CoEDTA⁻ in the pH'range from 5 to 10, shows that the overall equilibrium between mononuclear species is properly described by eq *5* **.22** When the present The identification of the hexadentate species CoEDTA⁻ as

$$
CoEDTA^{2-} + Fe(CN)_{6}^{3-} \rightleftharpoons CoEDTA^{-} + Fe(CN)_{6}^{4-}
$$
 (5)

measurements of the CoEDTA^{--Fe(CN)₆⁴⁻ reaction are com-} bined with the earlier measurements *and* interpretation (eq 1 and 2 with $k_1/k_{-1} = 831 M^{-1}$ and $k_2 = 5.40 \times 10^{-3} \text{ sec}^{-1}$, k_1^2 the equilibrium constant for eq 5 is $(k_1/k_{-1})(k_2/k_{-2}) = 831 \times$ $5.4 \times 10^{-3}/0.21 = 21$. The agreement between this value and the value of 100 estimated² from the appropriate oxidation potentials is satisfactory. The consistency between kinetic and equilibrium data cannot, however, be taken as a confirmation of the mechanism given in eq 1 and 2. Another mechanism, consistent with all the available data, accounts for the formation of the mononuclear products on the basis of a direct outer-sphere electron-transfer path between cobalt(I1) and iron(II1) rather than *via* dissociation of the binuclear complex. In the alternate mechanism²³ (eq 1 and 5, with eq 5 now having mechanistic significance with forward and reverse rate constants k_3 and k_{-3} , respectively), CoEDTA²⁻ and $Fe(CN)_6$ ³⁻ react predominantly by an inner-

(22) At this point, eq **5** has only stoichiometric significance. As (23) Similar mechanistic patterns have been previously recognized in a study of the $Ru(M_{3})_{s}Cl^{2+}-Cr^{2+}$ reaction: W. G. Movius and the discussion progresses, eq *5* will be assigned mechanistic significance. R. G. Linck, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 92, 2677 (1970). Ru(III) and
Cr(II) react predominantly by an inner-sphere mechanism to produce the binuclear successor complex (NH_3) , RuClCr(H₂O)₅⁺⁺. The mono-
nuclear products Ru(NH₃)₅OH₂²⁺ and Cr(H₂O)₅Cl²⁺ are formed by dissociation of the binuclear complex or via an alternate minor innersphere mechanism. The form of the rate law indicates equilibrium formation of a complex between the reactants, but it does not specify whether the complex is the immediate predecessor of the products or whether these are formed by an alternate interaction between the reactants which does not involve the complex.

⁽²¹⁾ An additional quantity necessary is the rate of reduction
of Co(EDTA)OH₂⁻ by ascorbic acid. This contribution was found
to be negligible by running a blank with all the components except Fig. The ratio of rate of reduction by ascorbic acid at **5.6** \times Fe(CN)_{6}⁴⁻. The ratio of rate of reduction by asc 10⁻³ *M* to rate of ring closure was less than 0.01.

Table IV. Rate Constants for Substitution and Redox Reactions of Co^{III}-EDTA Complexes

Reaction	k_f^a	k_{r}^{o}	Ref	
$CoEDTA^- + H_2O^+ \rightleftharpoons Co(HEDTA)OH$,	2.97×10^{-4}	2.33×10^{-4}		
$CoEDTA^- + H, O \rightleftharpoons Co(EDTA)OH,^-$	2.26×10^{-6}	2.21×10^{-3}		
$CoEDTA^{-} + OH^{-} \rightleftharpoons Co(EDTA)OH^{2-}$	3.5×10^{-2}	4.33×10^{-5}		
$Co(EDTA)Cl2- \Rightarrow CoEDTA^- + Cl$	2.8×10^{-6}			
$CoEDTA^- + Fe(CN)_6^4 = ?$	0.21			
$Co(EDTA)OH, ^{-} + Fe(CN)$ ₆ ⁴⁻ \Rightarrow ?	3.4			
$Co(EDTA)Cl^{2-} + Fe(CN)_{6}^{4-} \rightleftharpoons ?$	7.0			

a Rate constant for forward reaction at 25". Extrapolated to 25° from higher temperature data in ref 6. ^a Rate constant for forward reaction at 25°. ^b Rate constant for backward reaction at 25°. ^c Reference 6. ^d k_r measured in present
work; k_f calculated from $K = k_f/k_r$ where K is 1.02 × 10⁻³. ^e k_r from refe

Scheme **I**

Mcchanism A	Mechanism B	
CoEDTA ²⁺ + Fe(CN) ₆ ³⁻ $\frac{k_1}{k_{-1}}$ (EDTA)CoNCFe(CN) ₅ ⁵⁻	$k_1/k_{-1} = 831k_1 \approx 10^5$	$k_1/k_{-1} = 831, k_1 \approx 10^5$
(EDTA)CoNCFe(CN) ₅ ⁵⁻ $\frac{k_2}{k_{-2}}$ CoEDTA ⁻ + Fe(CN) ₆ ⁴⁻	$k_2 = 5.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ sec}^{-1}$	
CoEDTA ²⁺ + Fe(CN) ₆ ³⁻ $\frac{k_3}{k_{-3}}$ CoEDTA ⁻ + Fe(CN) ₆ ⁴⁻	$k_2 = 0.21 M^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$	
$k_3 = 4.5 M^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$		
$k_{-3} = 0.21 M^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$		

sphere mechanism to produce the binuclear complex identified by Adamson and Gonick as $(EDTA)CoNCFe(CN)_{5}^{5-}$. This pathway, although \sim 2 \times 10⁴ more efficient than the outer-sphere path in bringing about the redox process between Fe(II1) and Co(II), is a "dead end" as far as production of mononuclear Fe(I1) and Co(II1) products is concerned. The two interpretations are summarized in Scheme I, together with the assigned rate constants.

Although the available data are insufficient to differentiate between the two mechanisms, we favor mechanism B on the basis of the following indirect arguments. According to mechanism A, the reduction of CoEDTA⁻ by Fe(CN)₆⁴⁻ proceeds by an inner-sphere reaction whereby the nitrogen end of one CN^- in $Fe(CN)_6^{4-}$ first penetrates the coordination sphere of cobalt(II1) to produce the cyano-bridged iron(I1)-cobalt(II1) binuclear complex, a process which would proceed with a rate constant of $0.21 M^{-1}$ sec⁻¹. Direct displacement of a carboxylate group by the N end of bound cyanide is unlikely in view of the dissociative character of cobalt(II1) substitution reactions, and the intermediacy of an aquopentadentatecobalt(II1) complex is precluded by the known rate $(k = 2.26 \times 10^{-6} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ at 25°) of formation of $Co(EDTA)OH₂⁻$ from $CoEDTA⁻$. Therefore, we conclude that the reduction of CoEDTA⁻ by Fe(CN)₆⁴⁻ proceeds by an outer-sphere mechanism, and, using microscopic reversibility considerations, the oxidation of $CoEDTA^{2-}$ by $Fe(CN)_{6}^{3-}$ which results in the formation of CoEDTA⁻ and $Fe(CN)_{6}^{4-}$ also proceeds by an outer-sphere mechanism; *e.g.,* mechanism B obtains.

EDTA complexes as compared to the rates of reduction by Fe(CN)₆⁴⁻ indicate that the Fe(CN)₆⁴⁻ reductions of Co- $(EDTA)OH₂^-$ and $Co(EDTA)Cl²⁻$ also proceed by an outersphere mechanism. The pertinent information is summarized in Table IV. Except for the reaction of CoEDTA⁻ with OH⁻ (which results in decomposition of the complex⁶), substitution rates of Co^{III}-EDTA complexes fall in the range 10^{-3} - 10^{-6} sec⁻¹, whereas the redox rates are in the range 0.21-7.0 M^{-1} sec⁻¹, and therefore the classic criterion invoked to assign an outer-sphere mechanism is applicable in the present systems. The arguments based on rate comparisons are particularly compelling in the cases of $Co(EDTA)OH₂⁻$ and $\text{Co}(\text{EDTA})\text{Cl}^{2-}$. The displacements of H_2O or Cl⁻ by the Arguments based on the slow rates of substitution of CoIII-

dangling carboxylate ligand proceed with rate constants of 2.21×10^{-3} and 1.2×10^{-6} sec⁻¹, respectively, whereas the corresponding rate constants for reduction by $Fe(CN)_{6}^{4-}$ are 3.4 and $7.0 \tilde{M}^{-1}$ sec⁻¹, respectively. Therefore, unless Fe- $(CN)₆^{4-}$ exerts a specific (and mysterious) labilizing effect on approaching the cobalt(II1) complexes, substitution on cobalt(II1) is precluded, and the redox reactions proceed by an outer-sphere mechanism.

Additional, indirect support for the outer-sphere interpretation of the Fe(CN) $_6^{3-}$ -CoEDTA²⁻ reaction that produces the mononuclear species comes from a calculation³ of the rate constant for this reaction using Marcus' equation $k_{12} = (k_{11} \cdot$ $(k_{22}K_{12})^{1/2}$. With $k_{11} = 2 \times 10^{-7} M^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$, $k_{22} = 10^{5} M^{-1}$ \sec^{-1} , and $K_{12} = 10^2$, Huchital and Wilkins³ obtained $k_{12} \approx$ $1 M^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ for the predicted outer-sphere rate constant of the Fe(CN) $_6^{3-}$ -CoEDTA²⁻ reaction and noted that this value was several orders of magnitude smaller than their measured rate constant for the inner-sphere pathway. The agreement of the predicted value with the value $4.5 M^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ obtained from the measured parameters and the interpretation based on mechanism B is excellent and reinforces the present interpretation. The faster reaction rate of $CoEDTAOH₂⁻$ as compared to CoEDTA⁻ can also be rationalized on the basis of Marcus' equation. Since $CoEDTAOH₂⁻$ is less stable than CoEDTA⁻ by a factor of $10³$, provided that the exchange rates for the hexadentate and pentadentate species are the same, we would expect the reduction of $CoEDTAOH₂⁻$ to be faster than that of CoEDTA⁻ by a factor of $(10^3)^{1/2} \approx$ 31, in excellent agreement with the observed factor of 16.

All in all, it appears that the outer-sphere mechanism for the Fe(CN)₆⁴⁻ reductions of Co(EDTA)OH₂⁻ and Co(EDTA)-C12- and parallel inner- and outer-sphere reactions for the Fe(CN)₆³⁻-CoEDTA²⁻ reaction are pretty firmly established. The occurrence of parallel inner- and outer-sphere pathways has been, by now, widely recognized. However, the present interpretation of the CoEDTA²⁻-Fe(CN)₆³⁻ reaction differs from previous proposed mechanisms in that dissociation of the successor binuclear complex is ruled out. One might ask, therefore, how general is the interpretation of binuclear successor complex formation as a "dead end" and the need of an alternate pathway to mononuclear products. In the case of binuclear complex detection *and* bridging ligand transfer, such an interpretation can be discarded quite con-

Saturated Macrocyclic (N4) Complexes of Co(II1) *Inorganic Chemistry, Vol. 13, No.* 7, *1974* **1575**

vincingly. $2³$ However, when bridging ligand transfer does not obtain, then the alternate outer-sphere pathway is at least plausible.24

(24) There is one requirement that must be fulfilled for the alternate interpretation (mechanism B) to be physically meaningful. The product of the equilibrium constant for binuclear complex formation

Registry No. CoEDTA⁻, 15136-66-0; Co(EDTA)Cl²⁻, 23467- 31 -4; Co(EDTA)OH, ~, 24340-68-9; Fe(CN), 4° , 13408-63-4; Co- $EDTA^{2-}$, 14931-83-0; Fe(CN)₆⁵⁻, 13408-62-3.

and the parameter commonly interpreted as the dissociation of the binuclear complex must be $\leq 10^{10} M^{-1}$ sec⁻¹, the upper limit for a diffusion-controlled reaction.

> Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan 48202

Saturated Macrocyclic (N4) Complexes of Cobalt(I1I) Containing Cobalt-Alkyl Bonds. Preparation and Properties'

THOMAS **S.** ROCHE and JOHN F. ENDICOTT*

Received October 19, 1973 AIC30770I

A photochemical approach to the preparation of $Co^{III}(N_a)$ ^{YR} complexes has been devised where (N_a) is some saturated or partly saturated quadridentate macrocyclic nitrogen donor ligand, R is an alkide or alkenide ligand, and **Y** is some ligand such as H_2O , NCS⁻, etc. The photoredox decompositions of Co(NH₃), O_2CR^{2+} complexes in acidic aqueous solutions were used for sources of R radicals; when photolyses were performed in the presence of Co^{II}(N₄) complexes, the radical-cobalt-(II) reactions were found to be rapid and reasonably efficient. Complexes have been prepared with $(N_a) = a$ cyclic tetra-
mine or a cyclic diimine-diamine, and $R = CH_3$ or C_2H_3 . Side reactions and other problems of the p mentioned. The product Co^{III}(N₄)YR complexes are very stable coordination complexes. Some apparently fivecoordinate $Co(N_A)CH_A^{2+}$ complexes have been prepared and partially characterized. Although CH_3^- seems to be a good ligand with relatively high crystal field strength in the complexes with saturated equatorial (N_4) ligands, the absorption spectra of $Co^{III}(N₄)(OH₂)CH₃$ complexes with relatively unsaturated (N₄) ligand systems exhibit features which are not well understood.

Introduction

Since the discovery' that the stable, natural product, coenzyme B_{12} , is a cobalt(III) complex containing a σ -bonded alkyl ligand, there has been considerable interest in the preparation and study of analogous synthetic complexes.³ One point of interest in these studies has been the elucidation of the conditions which result in "stabilization" of cobalt(II1) alkyl moieties. Prior to the present study all the known complexes containing stable cobalt(II1)-alkyl bonds contained highly unsaturated ligands *(e.g.,* corrin, bis(dimethy1 glyoxime), cyanide, etc.) cis to the organic ligand. The relative ease of preparation of such unsaturated cobaltalkyl complexes compared to the numerous failures to prepare cobalt-alkyl complexes containing only saturated ligands, led to a belief that unsaturation of the equatorial ligand systems (with perhaps a concomitant enhancement of metal-ligand bond strength), was a necessary prerequisite to the formation of stable cobalt (III) -alkyl complexes.^{3,4} In this report we describe the preparation and characteriza-

(1) (a) Partial support of this research by the Public Health **Ser**vice (Research Grant AM 14341) is gratefully acknowledged. (b) Resented in part at the 166th National Meeting of the American Chemical Society, Chicago, **Ill.,** Aug 1973; *see* Abstracts, No. INOR *80.*

(2) **P.** G. Lenhert and C. D. C. Hodgkin, *Nature (London),* 192, 937 (1961).

(3) **For** reviews see (a) G. Schrauzer, *Accounts Chem. Res.,* 1, 97 (1968); H. A. 0. Hill, **J.** M. Pratt, and R. J. P. Williams, *Discuss. Faraday Soc.,* 47, 165 (1969); (b) D. H. Busch, K. Farmery, V. Goedken, V. Katavic, A. C. Melnyk, C. R. Sperati, and N. Tokel, Advan. Chem. Ser., No. 100, 44 (1970); (c) J. M. Pratt, "Inorganic Chemistry of Vitamin B₁₂," Academic Press, New York, N. Y., 1970. (e) J. (e) J. C., Brown, *Progr. Inorg. Chem.*, 18, 177 (1973); (e) J. M. Pratt and P. (1973).

Soc., 47, 172 (1969); (b) L. M. Engelhardt and M. Green, *J. Chem. SOC., Dalton Trans.,* 724 (1972). (4) (a) M. Green, **J.** Smith, and **P.** A. Tasker, *Discuss. Faraday*

tion of the first cobalt(II1)-alkyl complexes with saturated equatorial ligand systems.

Experimental Section

The acetato-, phenylacetato-, and acrylatopentaamminecobalt(II1) complexes were prepared as perchlorate salts by standard procedures.' The $Co^H(N₄)^{6,7}$ complexes with $N₄ = Me₆[14]dieneN₄,⁸ Me₆[14]$ ane N_4 ," and Me₄[14] tetraene N_4 ^{9,10} were prepared as described previously and isolated as the $(CoCl₄)²$ salts.

(5) (a) L. M. Jackman, R. M. Scott, and R. H. Portman, *Chem. Commun.,* 1338 (1968); (b) E. **S.** Gould and H. Taube, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 86,* 1318 (1964).

(6) Abbreviations for macrocyclic ligands are based on suggestions by Busch and coworkers.⁷ In this study we use Me_4 [14] -
tetraeneN₄ = 2,3,9,10-tetramethyl-1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradeca-1,3,8,10-tetraene, Me,[14]dieneN, = **5,7,7,12,14,14-hexamethyI-1,4,8,1l-tetraazacyclotetradeca-4,ll-diene,** Me,[14]aneN, = *C-meso-***5,7,7,12,14,14-hexamethyl-1,4,8,1** I-tetraazacyclotetradecane, and $[14]$ ane $N_4 = 1,4,8,11$ -tetraazacyclotetradecane.

(7) **V.** L. Goedken, D. H. Merrell, and D. H. Busch, *J. Amer. Chem. SOC.,* 94, 3397 (1972).

(8) D. P. Rillema, **J.** F. Endicott, and E. Papaconstantinou, *Inorg. Chem., 10,* 1739 (1971).